Court Records: Historic Overview of Policy Development

Melinda Hardman April 30, 2013



- Starting Point of Existing AZ Records Law
 - Access to Judicial Records
 - SCR 123
 - Retention of Judicial Records
 - · LJC; ACJA § 4-302
 - GJC; ACJA § 3-402
 - Court of Appeals; AO 99-79
 - Supreme Court; 2001-45

• What is SCR 123?

- The primary source of authority governing access to the judicial records of the State of Arizona
- The judicial branch counterpart to the executive branch public records law in statute
- Governs case documents and case data; paper and electronic

- Background of SCR 123
 - Adopted in the mid 1990's
 - "the records in all courts . . . are presumed to be open"
 - "Public access . . . may be restricted" for reasons of privacy, confidentiality, or because it is in the state's best interests.

- In 2008, major additions to SCR 123 were needed because:
 - Court records are being used in more and more ways and there is a greater demand for them

 Court resources for responding to requests for records are limited

Reasons for 2008 additions:

 Public expectations for immediate online access to information have increased

A consistent, statewide approach is needed

- Result of sec. g amendments, eff. 1-1-10:
 - Courts and custodians can now provide remote electronic access to some case documents and data
 - There is no obligation to make court records available by remote electronic access

- Result of sec. g amendments, eff. 1-1-10:
 - Remote Electronic Access -"access by electronic means that permits the viewer to search, inspect, or copy a record without the need to physically visit a court facility."
 - The Supreme Court is currently building a statewide e-Access component that will allow remote electronic access to some case documents and data.

Result of sec. g amendments, eff. 1-1-10:

 Allows courts or clerks of court to remove CMS data and case records from online display once the records retention schedule period is met.

- Retention of case records
 - Arizona has 4 records retention schedules for the courts:
 - LJC ACJA § 4-302 was adopted in 2006; original schedule in AO in 1991

 GJC – ACJA § 3-402 was adopted in 2006; original schedule in AO in 1991

Retention of case records

 Court of Appeals – AO adopted in 1999; previous AO adopted in 1991

Supreme Court – AO adopted in 2001

Retention of case records

- A 5th schedule has been considered for the e-filing system
 - Would apply to documents and data across court levels
 - Would capture screenshots and electronic messages in the statewide e-filing system – both those generated by the system and those between filers and the clerk

- Additional records authority
 - SCR 29 Court Records. Requires the supreme court to adopt retention and disposition schedules for the courts
 - SCR 94 Superior Court Clerks. Authorizes a superior court clerk to rely on a photographic or electronic reproduction or image of the original record – subject to provisions of ACJA § 1-507

- National perspective
 - Consultation with NCSC and subsequent research:
 - New area of court administration; AZ is pioneering
 - Review of records authority in other states recommended by NCSC (Tab 12)

- When carrying out the charge in the authorizing AO - from a policy perspective
 - keep in mind the following concepts:
 - Case records vs. administrative records
 - Terms such as "electronic record," "original record," "case record," "court record"
 - Definitions vary in code, rule, statute

- Additional considerations (cont.)
 - Difference between documents vs. data
 - Difference between paper documents vs. electronic documents
 - Born-digital
 - Scanned paper
 - Metadata

Questions?